# Added precipitation and nutrients have different effects on soil microbial enzyme activities across a habitat productivity gradient

Kelly Gravuer<sup>1</sup> (klgravuer@ucdavis.edu), Joy Cookingham<sup>2</sup>, Anu Eskelinen<sup>3</sup>, and Susan Harrison<sup>3</sup> Dept. of <sup>1</sup>Plant Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Land, Air & Water Resources, <sup>3</sup>Environmental Science & Policy; University of California, Davis

### Background

- There is great need to predict how environmental change will impact ecosystem services on which human well-being depends.
- We must predict *across landscapes* that are typically larger and more heterogeneous than the experimental areas on which it is feasible to simulate environmental change.
- Plot-scale experiments replicated across site conditions found on the landscape can indicate how and how much impacts of environmental change may vary across the larger area, ultimately suggesting the number and distribution of plotscale studies needed for effective landscape-scale prediction.
- Replicating experiments *across gradients of a single* environmental factor at a time (with others held constant) provides greatest power for inference and extrapolation.

We replicated an environmental change experiment across a gradient of grassland habitat productivity, underlain by three very different soil types in close proximity (Fig. 1). Climate, vegetation type, and regional species pool remain constant across the gradient.



### Fig 1. Proximity of the field site.

grassland habitats at Harsh serpentine has low N, low Ca:Mg, low water-holding capacity, and low productivity. Lush serpentine and Non-serpentine have higher productivity, but lush serpentine has lower Ca:Mg and higher pH than non-serpentine.

### Methods

Starting in spring 2010, two types of environmental changes have been applied to 2m x 2m plots in a factorial design:

- Late-season precipitation addition (simulating predicted climate change)
- NPK nutrient addition

This plot series is replicated across the three grassland habitats:



Fig 2B. Full experimental design: factorial treatments replicated across habitats

In spring 2013, we measured potential activity of five soil enzymes: β-glucosidase (BG), β-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), acid phosphatase (AP), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), and peroxidase (PER), using fluorometric and colorimetic assays. "Potential activity" refers to reaction with saturating substrate for a standard time period.



Fig 2A. Treatments







Fig. 3A-C. Plots at the time of enzyme sampling (spring 2013). The watering and nutrient treatments largely had additive effects on enzyme activity, with little evidence for a water x nutrient interaction.





Fig 5. Example of how precipitation impacts varied by habitat.

For most enzymes, the degree to which precipitation addition increased activity varied by habitat

- Significant or marginally significant habitat x precipitation interaction for 4 of 5 enzymes (e.g., NAG, Figure 5).
- 5<sup>th</sup> enzyme (PER) showed consistent significant increase across habitats.
- **Precipitation addition significantly** increased activity of:
- Some enzymes on Lush serpentine
- (possibly due to its low water holding capacity)

### Conclusions

- Nearly all enzyme activities varied among habitats.
- Impacts of precipitation addition were largely habitat-dependent, whereas impacts of nutrient addition were not.
- Precipitation addition affected most enzymes similarly (increased activity on non-serpentine and sometimes on lush serpentine), while nutrient addition increased activity for only some enzymes.

- The environmental changes had additive effects.
- Frequency of habitat-dependence in our results suggests that habitat type *does* need to be considered when predicting across this landscape.

### Results





**Fig 4A-E**. Activities of all enzymes except peroxidase (PER) varied by habitat.

 Most enzymes on Non-serpentine • No enzymes on Harsh serpentine



### Unlike precipitation, nutrient addition impacts did not vary by habitat For BG and NAG (hydrolytic $\bullet$

- Other studies have also found not of oxidative C-harvesting enzymes.

Fig 6A-B. Example of how nutrient addition consistently increased activity across habitats for some enzymes but did not affect activity of other enzymes.

## **Future Research**

- Measure additional ecosystem services (proteolytic capacity, N) mineralization & nitrification) to understand broader suite.
- Measure microbial composition and abundance to suggest which of these (or both) may be driving changes in services.
- Integrate all of these data with measurements of plant community to understand impacts of environmental changes on plant-soil system.

## Acknowledgements

Experiment logistics and field system discussion: Paul Aigner and Cathy Koehler **Discussion and data interpretation:** Truman Young, Kate Scow, Young & Scow Labs Lab and field assistance: Belle Smith, David Hsu, Emily Hsieh, Jen Balachowski, Charlotte Oriol, James Farlin, Scott Woodin, Mary E. Mendoza, Elise Hinman Funding: Mildred E. Mathias Graduate Student Research Grant, UC Davis Natural Reserve System Graduate Student Research Grant, UC Davis REACH IGERT Traineeship, NSF grants to Susan Harrison



enzymes), nutrient addition increased activity consistently across habitats.

For AP and the oxidative enzymes PPO and PER, nutrient addition did not increase activity in any habitat.

nutrient addition to increase activity of hydrolytic C-harvesting enzymes but